REMARKS

The present paper is in response to the final Office Action of September 14, 2004 and constitutes the submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114.

Claims 9-20 remain in the case.

As discussed in the subject application, an object of the present invention is to reduce significantly the weight and thickness (volume) of an air bag while maintaining the mechanical properties of the air bag as well as durability against long-term aging. The basis weight and thickness of the fabric for an improved air bag are reduced by about 20%, preferably 30% or more, when compared with a conventional base fabric used in a conventional air bag. (See application, page 3, line 30 to page 4, line 19.)

In the present invention, a significant mechanical property of the fabric is tensile work at break. Tensile work at break of the woven fabric forming the air bag was found to be more relevant from a design standpoint than the tensile strength at break. During the making of the invention, the applicants noted that the dynamic load exerted on the air bag is larger at the stage when the air bag is projected forward to a maximum extent (See application, Fig. 1(3)) than at the stage when the air bag inflates to a maximum extent and restrains the occupant. (See application, page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 1.) This study unexpectedly resulted in tensile work at break being more significant than tensile strength at break.

Tensile work of a fabric is generally correlated to the basis weight of the woven fabric if the kind of yarn is specified. It is significant in the present invention that an unnecessarily large tensile work at break is contradictory because weight reduction and compactness of the present air bag are important requisites. Because the kinetic

energy of the air bag projected forward must be absorbed by the tensile work of the woven fabric forming the air bag in the projecting stage, the woven fabric forming the air bag must have a tensile work at break enough to absorb the kinetic energy but must not be unnecessarily large. Tensile work at break is specified in the independent claims in the range of 7,000 to 30,000 N•%/2.54 cm.

The air bag according to the present invention is excellent in weight reduction and pliability so that a favorable compactness is obtainable if the fabric is composed of yarns (warp and weft), each consisting of a plurality of filaments having a total fineness in a range from 66 to 167 decitex and a single filament fineness in a range fro 1.0 to 3.3 decitex, and has the above-identified tensile work at break.

The woven fabric of the present invention is specified in terms of a parameter, weave fineness, which is a product of total fineness of warp or weft multiplied by weave density, the product being 16000 decitex•end/2.54 cm or less. The basis weight of woven fabric is directly correlated to the weave fineness. The value range of the load at 15% elongation of the fabric is specified in order to obtain a pliable air bag that prevents occurrence of injury of the vehicle occupant at impact. The mechanical properties are maintained even after the air bag has been exposed to prolonged periods of heat-aging, wet heat-aging, and ozone-aging.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 10, 11, 13, 15, and 17-20 based on <u>Toray</u>, of claims 9, 14, and 16 based on <u>Toray</u> in view of <u>Smith</u>, and of claim 12 based on Toray in view of Mizuki are respectfully traversed.

The Examiner's attention is invited to Table A and Table B filed with the RCE of August 25, 2003, and Table A (Revised) filed herewith. As understood by a comparison

of Tables A with Table B, the fabrics of the <u>Toray</u> Examples and Comparative Examples clearly have different levels of yarn fineness (size) (350 to 470 dtex) and weave fineness values (21620 to 31020) than the levels claimed herein. Further, the yarn size usable in <u>Toray</u> cannot practically be smaller than 210 denier (=231 dtex); 210 denier yarn is stated by <u>Toray</u> to be the desirable minimum for attaining the objective mechanical properties (e.g., tensile strength, tensile elongation, tear strength).

Based on applicants' calculation in Table B, the level of the estimated basis of weight of the uncoated woven base fabrics of <u>Toray</u> Examples and Comparative Examples is 170 to 244 g/m². These levels of basis of weight of <u>Toray</u> fabrics are similar to those of conventional base fabrics as referred to in the present application.

(See application, page 3, line 30 to page 4, line 21). In contrast, the level of measured basis of weight of the six present Examples in Table A is 94 to 125 g/m².

It is submitted that <u>Toray</u> suggests it would not be possible to use a yarn having a decitex of 66 to 167, far less than <u>Toray's</u> lower limit of 210 denier (231 decitex), to produce a woven fabric for use in making a lightweight airbag. In accordance with the teachings of <u>Toray</u>, a woven base fabric for an airbag is designed by relying on the tensile strength at break of the base fabric and stating that 210 denier yarn is the desirable minimum for attaining the objective mechanical properties. Since the <u>Toray</u> teachings lack consideration for the importance of tensile work at break of fabric, a skilled person in the art could not predict what range of yarn size and what woven structure would make a lighter and thinner air bag, yet resistance against dynamic load upon inflation. Even <u>Toray's</u> fabric strength at break is significantly greater than the claimed range of 740 to 1010 N/2.54cm appearing in each of the independent claims.

Although <u>Toray</u> fails to recognize the importance of applicants' discovery of using tensile work at break in inventing the claimed air bag, an incorrect statement concerning <u>Toray</u> and this property was previously made to the Examiner. In "Table B Toray Example and Comparative Examples" prepared by applicants, the estimates of <u>Toray's</u> tensile work at break would <u>not</u> be outside the claimed range but instead overlap. Applicants and the undersigned attorney apologize for inadvertently attempting to distinguish <u>Toray</u> previously based on the value of tensile work at break of <u>Toray's</u> fabric.

As to the secondary reference to <u>Smith</u>, the shortcomings of <u>Toray</u> discussed above apply equally here for claim 9. The addition of <u>Smith</u>, assuming <u>arguendo</u> that it is combinable with <u>Toray</u>, does not correct these shortcomings. Furthermore, <u>Smith's</u> air bag is formed preferably of a neoprene backing layer. Because the <u>Toray</u> air bag employs a base fabric for an uncoated air bag, an attempt to provide <u>Toray</u> with a negative backing layer would be contrary to <u>Toray's</u> teachings and unsupportable for this reason alone.

Mizuki discusses the well-known factor of birefringence, but this discussion does not correct shortcomings of <u>Toray</u> discussed above. Further, <u>Mizuki</u> does not teach the requirement in claim 12 that the birefringence of the weft is larger than that of the warp. The Examiner's solution is again "routine optimization" until the birefringence of the weft is larger than that of the warp. Not only does this approach deprecate the invention, it overlooks completely the technical significance of this claimed feature, namely, to make the mechanical properties substantially the same in the warp and weft directions of the fabric. <u>See</u> application, page 10, lines 26 to 36. While it is plausible that <u>Toray</u> would

have birefringence as high as needed for obtaining a high-strength yarn, the technical relationship of warp yarn to weft yarn, as recited in claim 12, is neither taught nor made obvious by either <u>Toray</u> or <u>Mizuki</u>. Additionally, neither of their respective teachings lays a groundwork for invoking the "routine optimization" solution of the Examiner for correcting the deficiencies of a reference.

The Examiner's attention is invited to U.S. Patent No. 5,533,755 to Nelsen et al. ("Nelsen") a copy of which was submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed September 5, 2000. Fabric No. 64145 (Table 1, col. 7) in Nelsen is included in the attached Table C. As understood, Nelsen describes nylon 66 yarn as preferred yarn for forming a fabric for making the specifically configured cushion (airbag) but is silent about any use of copper compound modified nylon 66 yarn. Further, the specifications of both Fabric No. 64145 formed of T-185 DuP nylon 66, 70 denier/34 filaments as warp and T-1943 ICI nylon 66 yarn, 100 denier/34 filament as weft in Table 1 at column 7 provides no positive reason why these nylon 66 yarns should be of a copper compound modified type nylon 66 yarn. Claims 9, 10, and 17 differ from Fabric No. 64145 in this first respect.

The basis of the new recitation in claims 9, 10, and 17: "the yarn having a tensile strength of 5.4 cN/dtex or greater" is found in Table A (Revised). (See esp. Example 3, yarn, tensile strength box.) Table A (Revised) is summary data of physical and mechanical properties of the fabrics according to present Examples (EX) 1 to 6 and Comparative Examples (CE) 1 to 4 of the present invention (See application, Table 1, page 19 as amended). Table C attached is summary data of physical and mechanical properties for fabrics including Fabric No. 64145 of the Nelsen patent. As understood,

in Table C, the estimated values of strength of the yarns for warp and weft were calculated by using values in Table 1, column 7, of Nelsen. From a comparison of Table A (Revised) with Table C, although the total and filament size of yarn as well as weave fineness of fabrics are substantially at the same level, Fabric No. 64145 has a smaller value of tensile strength in the warp and weft directions (436 N/2.54cm and 525 N/2.54cm) than those of the fabrics according to the present Examples in Table A (Revised). This low strength value of Fabric No. 64145 is assumed to be the result of use of a low tensile strength yarn (3.7 cN/dtex for warp-Table C), while the claims herein recite a range of 5.4 cN/dtex or greater.

Reconsideration and allowance of claims 9-20 are earnestly solicited.

Please grant any additional extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: March 14, 2005

Reg. No. 20,645

Attachments: Tables A (Revised) and Table C

Table A (revised) Present Examples (EX) and Comparative Examples (CE)

thmated.) thmated.) thmated.) 17675 12555 20250 14450 20790 15910 16853 13271 19020 12704 14060 9620 17465 11336 18000 11323 7050 6988		EX1	EX2		EX3		EX4	E	EX5	9X3	٩	CEI		CE2		CE3		CE4	
Curi picks/2.54 cm	Fabrics Weave density				. 95	93		86	94		42	142	95				190	78	75
The first component of the first conditions and the first conditions of the first	a, pick							-											
Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 3 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 3	N/2.34 Cm					/40	963			141							559	1326	1275
(measured value) 20500 13500 20600 14500 17500 13600 17500 14000 17500 14000 12500 14000 12500 8000 20000 12000 20500 12000 6000 5900 abric abric 2224	Tensile work (Estimated.)	17675 1255	5 20250	14450	20790 1	910	53		9020 127								6988	21216	19125
## style="text-align: center; color: white; asked black to be a color: whi	N.8/2.54 cm (measured value)	20500 1350	0 20600	14500	17500 1	3600		1900	0500 140			00/20	000 120	00 2050	0 12000		5900	30000 25000	25000
State Stat	Tensile work/g-fabric				280	218	285	238				0	320 1	92 32	8 192		128		342
14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14640 15288 14664 14664 11076 11076 11076 14820 14508 10752 10640 14820 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14820 14508 14020 14508 14040 15288 1464 14664 14064 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14	$(N-8/2.54 \text{ cm})/(g/m^2 \times 1/2)$					à	•												
ttex.end/2.54 cm, 14820 14508 14820 14508 14601 15288 14664 11076 11076 11076 14820 14508 14620 14508 10752 10640 146x/filament 14820 14508 14620 14508 14601 1528 14664 11076 110770 11077		2224	55	24	2224		2224	_	2224		2376		2224	-	224	27(1	2243	63
tex.picks/2.54 cm	fineness dtex.end/2.54 cm;	14820 1450	8 14820	14508	14820 14	508		5288 1	4664 146		076 110	76 14	320 145	1482	0 14508		10640	181	17475
eak % 35 27 45 34 43 35 27 40 27 37 26 16 15 16 14 7 17 (measured) g/m² 125 135 140	dtex.picks/2.54 cm																		
(measured) g/m² 125 125 125 125 125 94 125 125 92 (estimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 84 (estimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 115 87 42 42 (estimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 87 42 42 (bestimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 87 88 57 42 42 (estimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 115 87 88 57 42 42 (bestimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	·	35			54	43	35	27	4	27	37	26		_		_		20	30
(estimated) g/m² 115 115 115 115 115 87 115 84 4 4 4 4 58 57 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42				52	125		125		125	_	94	,	125		.25	6	~ 1	15	
t) weft component) 58 57 58 57 55 60 58 58 44 44 58 57 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42		115	1	<u> </u>	115		115		115		87		115		. 15	8		14	0
dtex 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 78 78 156 156 156 56 56 66 66 160 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14	(warp component, weft component)		_		28	57	22	09	28	28		44					42	72	69
dtex 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156	Yarns (warp, weft)									· 		٠.			• .				
denier 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140			_	156	156	156	156	156		26	78						56	233	233
dtex/filament 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.				140	140	140	140	140		40	70	•					20	215	215
dtex/filament	Fineness of single filament			2.2	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2				7			8	1	1.6	6.7	6.7
CN/dtex 7.1 6.3 5.4 7.1 6.3								_		,-	-								
	Tensile strength cN/dtex	7.1	<u>ن</u>	m,	5.4	•	7.1		6.7 × 7.		7.1		7.0	_	6.3	ς.	4	7.	7

MAR 1 & 2005 W

Table C Examples described in U.S.P. 5,533,755

		#64144	44	#64145	45	#64146	146
		Warp	Weft	Warp	Weft	Warp	Weft
Fabrics Weave density	ends/2.54 cm; picks/2.54 cm	184	96	153	06	128	. 9
Tensile strength	kg/3 cm N/2.54 cm	458	578	436	525	770	761
Tensile work at break (Estimated)	N·%/2.54 cm (Calculated)	5040	9801	8065	8136	10389	8747
Tensile work at break/g fabric	(Measured Value) N.8.m ² /2.54 cm/g	139	236	172	209	187	214
Cover factor Weave fineness	dtex.ends/2.54 cm.dtex.picks/2.54 cm	2190 9200 1	10560	2180 11934	0066	2085 14080 1	10540
Elongation at break	98	22	34	37	31	27	23
basis of weight (Estimated)	g/m ² g/m ² (Warp component, Weft component)	78 36	42	86 47	39	97 55	41
Yarns (Warp, Weft) Fineness of yarn	dtex	09	110	78	110	110	155
Fineness of single filament	denier dtex/filament	45 3.3	3.2	70	3.2	100 3.2	140
Tensile Strength (Estimated)	cN/dtex	5.0	5.5	3.7	5.3	5.5	7.2